IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the amount sanctioned by the concerned M.P. under the MP's Local Area Development Fund is final and that the Planning Department of the State Government cannot override the suggestions of the M.P.s;

(b) in matters of dispute or interpretation whether the Collector is final or whether the State Governments Planning Department is final;

(c) in cases where the Planning Department has overruled the Collector whether the cases will be reopened; and

(d) whether detailed instructions will be sent to the Collectors?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI GIRIDHAR GAMANG): (a) Para 3.1 of the revised guidelines on MP's local Area Development Scheme (MPLADS) stipulates "Upon receipt of the recommendations from the concerned M.P. regarding the works to be executed in the district, the Collector will proceed to get them implemented through the Govt. agencies in the District by following the established procedures including those relating to grant of technical sanctions and accord of administrative approval by the Collector. Normally the recommendations of the M.P. will be final unless some items of works are found to be technically non-feasible and outside the scope of these guidelines by the concerned Govt. agencies".

(b) and (c) Para 3.2 of the revised guidelines clearly states "If the District Collector is unable to ensure the consideration and taking up of any works suggested by the M.Ps in the foregoing manner, he should send a comprehensive report with reasons, to the concerned M.P. immediately and to the Department of Programme Implementation, Govt. of India, New Delhi under intimation to the State Planning Department." The decision of the Department of Programme Implementation, Govt. of India will be final.

(d) Copies of the revised guidelines have already been sent to all the concerned District Collectors in the country.

Economic growth rate of Uttar Pradesh

2119. SHRI MOHAMMED AFZAL *alias* MEEM AFZAL: Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state:

(a) the extent to which the economic growth rate of Uttar Pradesh is more or less compared to other States;

(b) the steps taken by Government to increase the economic growth of Uttar Pradesh at par with that of the other States; and

(c) the total growth rate of different States during the last three years?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI GIRIDHAR GAMANG): (a) to (c) The Economic Growth Rate of Uttar Pradesh as compared to the other States during the last three years is given as Statement. (*See* below)

Basically, the overall development of the State is a responsibility of the State Government. Government of India, within the frame-work of the 5 Year Plans provide necessary assistance and support to the State in drawing up and implementing economic planning consistent with the broad national objectives, but primarily on the priorities decided by the State Governments. The 8th Plan outlay for the State has been fixed at Rs. 21,000 crores. During the first four years of the 8th Plan the State has been allotted quite a generous Plan

outlay to achieve its economic objectives as can be seen below:

(Rs. in crores)

	1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96
Total Plan outlay	3853	4050	4563	5477.50

In addition Central Government also have been helping the State Government under various Special Area Programmes. For example under the Hill Areas Development Programme, Uttar Pradesh has been allotted Rs. 197 crores in 1994-95 out of a total outlay of Rs. 320 crores under the Programme. Further, outlay under Hill Areas Development Programme for Uttar Pradesh has been increased by another Rs. 25 crores for 1995-96.

Statement

Annual growth rate of NSDP at constant (1980-81) prices over previous year

(Percent)

Sl. No.	States / U.T.	1990-91	1991-92	1992-93
1.	Andhra Pradesh	1.6	2.5	—2.2
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	16.1	13.6	1.9
3.	Assam	4.8	7.0	5.4
4.	Bihar	8.3	−5.9	2.2
5.	Goa	7.5	6.0	5.6
6.	Gujarat	0.8	−3.9	14.6
7.	Haryana	9.1	2.0	0.9
8.	Himachal Pradesh	0.5	−0.7	—
9.	J & K	3.0	3.7	4.1
10.	Karnataka	0.1	11.8	4.3
11.	Kerala	7.6	1.9	5.9
12.	Madhya Pradesh	15.4	—2.9	5.0
13.	Maharashtra	5.4	0.5	11.5
14.	Manipur	7.3	10.4	—
15.	Meghalaya	11.8	11.4	7.5
16.	Nagaland	—1.1	4.1	—
17.	Orissa	−10.4	11.4	−2.8
18.	Punjab	1.8	5.0	4.0
19.	Rajasthan	15.7	−8.9	11.8
20.	Sikkim	10.1	—	—
21.	Tamil Nadu	7.4	5.9	2.2
22.	Tripura	7.7	4.0	—
23.	Uttar Pradesh	5.6	1.4	1.0
24.	West Bengal	2.9	5.9	4.3
25.	A & N Islands	−1.8	−8.8	14.3
26.	Delhi	5.4	7.3	—
27.	Pondicherry	3.1	3.4	2.0

राज्यों को विकास हेतु केन्द्रीय सहायता

2120. **श्री आस मोहम्मद:** क्या योजना और कार्यक्रम कार्यान्वयन मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

(क) क्या यह सच है कि विभिन्न विकास परियोजनाओं हेतु निर्धारित की गई केन्द्रीय सहायता की सम्पूर्ण राशि राज्यों को उपलब्ध नहीं कराई गई है; और

(ख) यदि हां, तो तत्संबंधी ब्यौरा क्या है और इसके क्या कारण हैं?

योजना और कार्यक्रम कार्यान्वयन मंत्रालय के राज्य मंत्री (श्री गिरिधर गमंग): (क) और (ख) राज्यों के कुछ निर्धारित क्षेत्रकों / उपक्षेत्रकों और स्कीमों / परियोजनाओं वाले वार्षिक योजना कार्यक्रमों की वित्त व्यवस्था हेतु ब्लॉक ऋणों तथा अनुदानों के माध्यम से केन्द्रीय सहायता उपलब्ध कराई जाती है। केन्द्रीय सहायता मासिक किस्तों में जारी की जाती है। राज्यों की योजनाओं की वित्त व्यवस्था के लिए 1994-95 में 28 फरवरी, 1995 तक विदेशी सहायता प्राप्त परियोजनाओं के लिए राज्यवार जारी की गई कुल सामान्य केन्द्रीय सहायता तथा अतिरिक्त केन्द्रीय सहायता के ब्यौरे संलग्न विवरण में दिए गए हैं।

विवरण

राज्यों की योजनाओं की वित्त व्यवस्था के लिए वर्ष 1994-95 में 28 फरवरी, 1995 तक विदेशी सहायता प्राप्त परियोजनाओं को जारी की गई कुल सामान्य केन्द्रीय सहायता

(करोड़ रुपये)

	राज्य	राशि
1.	आंध्र प्रदेश	1153.29
2.	अरुणाचल प्रदेश	282.75
3.	असम	797.33
4.	बिहार	924.28
5.	गोआ	46.24
6.	गुजरात	292.57
7.	हरियाणा	201.16
8.	हिमाचल प्रदेश	289.58
9.	जम्मू व कश्मीर	1484.71
10.	कर्नाटक	525.99
11.	केरल	436.63